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Summary Intermediate 1:2-adducts have been isolated from the reaction of 1,1 -diethoxyethene with quinones, a process which has been extended to the synthesis of the insect pigment deoxyerythrolaccin.

Addition of 1,1-diethoxyethene to 1,4-quinones involves competing 1:1- and 1:2-processes. ${ }^{1}$ The former leads to dihydrobenzofuran or cyclobutane adducts and to other derived products. ${ }^{1,2}$ The latter is characterised by the
formation of a benzenoid ring, as in the conversion of 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-benzoquinone into the naphthoquinone (1). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ The course of this synthetically useful process is obscure, no reaction intermediates having hitherto been detected.

Careful treatment of 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-benzoquinone with 1,1-diethoxyethene in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at room temperature gave a tan coloured product formulated as the 1:2-adduct (2), $m / e 368\left(M^{+}\right)$. It was unstable in air and light, particularly

(1)

(2)

(3)

$\begin{array}{lccc} & R^{1} & R^{2} & R^{3} \\ \text { (4); } & H & \text { OEt } & \mathrm{Me} \\ \text { (5); } & \text { OEt } & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{Me}\end{array}$
in the presence of acid or on silica; this led to (1) ( $\lambda_{\max }$ 410 nm ). It absorbed as an enedione ( $\lambda_{\max } 255 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). ${ }^{3}$ Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ was complex, with resonances entirely at fields above $\delta 4 \cdot 0$. On addition of HCl in the absence of air this changed strikingly to the spectrum of the quinol (3) [ $\delta 2.21,2.26$ ( 2 - and $3-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 6.32 , and 6.91 ( ArH )] plus 2 mol . equiv. of ethanol. The quinol (3),
$m / e 276\left(M^{+}\right), \lambda_{\max } 350 \mathrm{~nm}$, with naphthalenic fine structure, was stable in the solid phase but in solution in air gave (1) quantitatively.

These data provide evidence for the conventional 1:2addition proceeding through at least two intermediate stages [(2) and (3)] leading to the end product (1). Discrete adducts, spectroscopically similar to (2) but of lower stability, have also been isolated from the reactions of 1,4 -benzoquinone and of 5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone. Analogous products have not so far been obtained from bromoquinones, ${ }^{4}$ presumably because of the ease of elimination of HBr .

Development of the $1: 2$-addition process to synthesising polyketides based on 1,3,6-trihydroxyanthraquinone requires controlled addition to derivatives of 6 -hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone. However application of standard procedures ${ }^{1,4}$ gave the non-polyketide 1,3,7-orientation. Thus 7-methoxy-5-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone and its 2 -bromo-derivative, obtained by direct bromination, ${ }^{5}$ both reacted with 1,1-diethoxyethene to give (4) ( $45 \%$ ). The substrates were derived ${ }^{6}$ from 7 -methoxy-5-methyltetralone ${ }^{7}$ and the direction of addition was compatible with electron release from the 7 -substituent, ${ }^{5}$ the orientational effect of bromo-groups, ${ }^{4}$ and model conversions of 6 -hydroxy- and 6 -ethoxy-1,4-naphthoquinones into $1,3,7$ trihydroxyanthraquinone. ${ }^{8}$ However, the isomeric 3-bromo-derivative was usefully obtained by unconventional oxidative hydrobromination. It reacted with 1,1-diethoxyethene to give (5) ( $80 \%$ ). On hydrolysis, this gave the insect pigment deoxyerythrolaccin. ${ }^{9}$
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